

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669  
City Attorney  
JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961  
Chief Trial Deputy  
RONALD P. FLYNN, State Bar #184186  
Deputy City Attorney  
Fox Plaza  
1390 Market Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94102-5408  
Telephone: (415) 554-3901  
Facsimile: (415) 554-3837

Attorneys for Defendant  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
KEVIN WORRELL, DAMIEN FAHEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADRIENNE MACBETH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation;  
KEVIN WORRELL, individually and in  
his official capacity as a police officer for  
the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO, DAMIEN FAHEY,  
individually and in his official capacity as  
a police officer for the CITY AND  
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; and  
DOES 1-50, individually and in their  
official capacities.

Defendants.

Case No. C07-3304 MEJ

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE  
FILED IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO  
DISMISS SECOND THROUGH NINTH  
CAUSES OF ACTION**

Hearing Date: September 20, 2007  
Hearing Judge: Mag. Maria-Elena James  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Place: Courtroom B, 15th Floor

Date Action Filed: June 22, 2007  
Trial Date: None Set

(1) Notice of Motion, Motion, and Memorandum of  
Points and Authorities ISO Motion to Dismiss  
Second Through Ninth Causes of Action;  
(2) Declaration of Matthew Rothschild ISO Motion  
To Dismiss Second Through Ninth Causes of Action



**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE**

Judicial notice may be taken of the existence and authenticity of the public and quasi public documents, as opposed to the veracity of the contents where those contents are in dispute. *Del Puerto Water Dist. v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation*, 271 F.Supp.2d 1224, 1234 (E.D.Cal. 2003); *see also, California ex rel. RoNo, LLC v. Altus Finance S.A.*, 344 F.3d 920, 931 (9th Cir. 2003) (“requests for judicial notice are GRANTED to the extent that they are compatible with Fed. Rule Evid. 201 and do not require the acceptance of facts ‘subject to reasonable dispute.’”); *Kent v. Daimlerchrysler Corp.*, 200 F.Supp.2d 1208, 1219 (N.D.Cal. 2002).

Here, defendants request that the Court take judicial notice of the absence of a government claim filed by plaintiff. (See Declaration of Matthew Rothschild ¶ 2.) Defendants do not ask the Court to take judicial notice of the veracity of any statement made in such a claim (if it existed), but instead merely that the public record does not exist.

Dated: August 13, 2007

DENNIS J. HERRERA  
City Attorney  
JOANNE HOEPER  
Chief Trial Deputy  
RONALD P. FLYNN  
Deputy City Attorney

-/s/- *Ronald P. Flynn*

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
RONALD P. FLYNN

Attorneys for Defendants  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
KEVIN WORRELL, and DAMIEAN FAHEY